

**No. ID/FD/147-83/46918.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Tilak Dhari and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries 58-B, Industrial Area, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tilak Dhari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/86-83/46925.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghubir Singh and the management of M/s Fancy Nylon Fabrics Mfg. Co., 20/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghubir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/43-83/46932.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri R. D. Yadav and the management of M/s Haryana Sanitaryware Industries Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 14, Sector 25, Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below of being either matter dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri R.D. Yadav, as justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

The 15th September, 1983

**No. ID/FD/150-83/48229.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nirmal Parshad and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries, 59-B, Industrial Area, NIT, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Nirmal Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/150-83/48236.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Videshi Parshad and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries, 58-B, Industrial Area, N.I.T. Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Videshi Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/150-83/48243.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Brij and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries 58-B, Industrial Area, N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted, under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Brij was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/48250.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Thakur Parshad and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries, 58-B, Industrial Area, N. I. T. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Thakur Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/150-83/48257.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Taphani Parshad and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries, 58-B, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now therefor in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman or adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Taphani Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 16th September, 1983

No. ID/YMN/114-83/48591.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghbir Singh and the management of M/s Gurbachan Singh ki Factory Near Gurdwara Patri Muhalla, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Raghbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?